UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,927	05/24/2001	Lee E. Cannon	IGT1P482X1/AG32-CIP	2424	
	7590 08/04/200 Villeneuve & Sampson	EXAMINER			
Attn: IGT P.O. Box 70250	•	WONG, JEFFREY KEITH			
Oakland, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/864,927	CANNON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Jenrey K. Wong	37 14	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ottod olamno.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		inpliant / inchament (	1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiriittea iii a separate,	amory mod amoriamor	it dandoling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34,35,38,55-64,68,69</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jeffrey K Wong/	/IAMES S MOCLELLA	NI/	
,	/JAMES S. MCCLELLA Primary Examiner, Art U		
	i filliary Examinor, Art o	THE OF IT	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration by the Examiner..

JKW

.

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant alleges: "There is absolutely no disclosure in Bennett of initiating a tournament game of chance in a multi-player tournament in response to the occurrence of one or more qualifying outcome events of a primary game of chance. Rather, in Bennett, the controller 101 decides, apparently arbitrarily, when to initiate tournament play. The initiation of tournament play does not occur in response to a qualifying outcome event of Bennett's base slot game. In Bennett, the initiation of a tournament is not based on the occurrence of a qualifying event of a primary game, such as a winning outcome of the primary game. (Applicants' specification, (Paragraph[0020, 0055]). Instead, in Bennett, a tournament is triggered during routine game play. As explained in Bennett, "when the tournament is triggered during play of the base slot game, the same feature game will be displayed on every participating machine. (Col. 6, lines 47-50)."

The Examiner disagrees. Bennett discloses in Col. 6, lines 47-50, "...when the tournament is triggered during play of the base slot game..." This can clearly be viewed as a direct result of the primary game. In order for the tournament to be 'triggered', there would need to be some event that would cause said tournament to be 'triggered' in the first place. For example, much like the way it is well known in the art that a secondary/bonus game for a slot machine can be 'triggered' to a certain 'trigger' symbol or combination of symbols being determined in the primary/base game, the same scope can be applied for 'triggering' tournament play. The use of 'trigger' clearly indicates that tournament play commences as a direct result of the outcome of the base game. Also, there is nothing in the claim that discloses one or more qualifying outcome events.

"Unlike Applicants' claimed invention, Acres do not change the permitted rate of game play of a tournament game in response to an occurrence of a specific game outcome which may occur during play of the tournament game. Instead, in Acres, the game speed of a primary game is changed "in accordance with the demand on the casino floor." (paragraph [0012]). That is, the game speed is increased and the payback percentage decreased during high demand periods. (Id). Therefore, for at least these reasons, Applicants' claimed invention as set out in claim 34 and its dependent claims would not have been obvious in view of Acres, Pascal et al. and Bennett."

The Examiner disagrees. Acres teaches in the Abstract that "the behavior of each machine is controlled by configuring selected parameters such as game speed, payback percentage, or game appearance." Paragraph 17 teaches "The present invention comprises a method of configuring electronic gaming machines interconnected by a computer network to a host computer. Selected configuration parameters are implemented at each machine. A plurality of variables related to play on the gaming machines are monitored. A predetermined criterion for one of the variables is established. After play is permitted to occur at the machines, one of the machines is selected when the established criterion is met. The configuration parameter of the selected machine is changed in responsive to a computer command.". Paragraph 56 teaches that "the RAM in MCI 50 is programmed to monitor variables related to play on the gaming machine, such as coin in, coin out, player status, time that machine is played, etc." In this case, the coin out is viewed as the occurrence of a game outcome since it is obvious that a game outcome would result in a payout. Also, there is nothing disclosed of an occurrence of specific game outcome in the claim language.

JKŴ